Organization C 3600 Bldg./Room UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Penalty For Private Use, \$300 Official Business

L OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER AN EQUA 18 2/6/09 18 1/6/09

60/10/60 USPTU WAIL CENTER RECEIVED MAR 1 0 2009

NIXIE

F07

い。 040

SENDER AS ADDRESSED FORWARD

*0117-02791-04-42

22313145050

.. 00

ことのは金融の子の「大大地」

0004244975 MAR 04 2009 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314

02 1W 0004244975

STATE OSTINU

P.O. Box 1450

United States Patent and Trademark Office UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov APPLICATE OF NO CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/633,959 168.002 08/04/2003 Mario Ruiz 5181 7590 03/04/2009 **EXAMINER** I Now Technologies Inc Attn: Mario Ruiz or Alan Kaplan DONLON, RYAN D 2200 Flecher Avenue PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** Ft Lee, NJ 07024 3695 **DELIVERY MODE** MAIL DATE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PAPER

03/04/2009

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Abandonment	10/633,959	RUIZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	RYAN D. DONLON	3695
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>11 September 2008</u>. A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on 		
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).		
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).		
(d) 🖾 No reply has been received.		
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).		
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$		
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).		
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.		
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.		
7. The reason(s) below:		
The Examiner spoke with applicant's attorney Ms. KARMALI who stated that she was aware that inventors were not responding to the previous Office Action and that she would not be responding either.		
	/Narayanswamy Subrama Primary Examiner, Art Uni	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra minimize any negative effects on patent term.	aw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to